

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/963,341	MATTES ET AL.
	Examiner Susan Hanley	Art Unit 1651

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** amended

(1) Susan Hanley.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Patrick Eagleman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 June 2005

**Time:** 3:00

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

59-66 and 69-74

Prior art documents discussed:

Chan, Lebing


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Eagleman agreed to an Examiner's amendment that incorporates the addition of a detergent into claim 59. Examiner Hanley agreed to fix the misnumbered claims (there are two claims labelled "74") present in the amendment filed 3/24/05.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)